



**LEARN THE SECRETS
THAT ALL BUILDERS DON'T WANT YOU
TO FIND OUT**

**DISCOVER THE REAL SOLUTION TO
RISING ENERGY COSTS**

**FIND OUT HOW TO PROTECT YOUR BUILDING(S)
FROM NATURAL AND MAN MADE**

DISASTERS

**BE THE FIRST TO DISCOVER HOW YOU CAN
BENEFIT FROM**

RISING ENERGY COSTS

TESTIMONIALS - What people say about us . . .

"A person would be crazy NOT building with Omniconrete after looking at the energy savings and the protection you get from this amazing system. As a person who has been in the building industry for years and the military for 20 years, I will say that this is the most protective building system I've ever seen and after factoring in the energy savings cost much less than any other type of constuction ever." *R. Gately, Pt Orange, FL*

"We love our Omniconrete home - it's quite, dry and the energy bills are averaging only \$106 per month for this 5,000 square foot home! We're impressed, it is the safest home ever! If any of your potential customers would like to stop by, have them call me anytime". *W. Whitaker* (This is the 1st home we built in 2006 which was featured by local TV affiliates NBC, CBS and Local Cable Station Channel 13 in Orlando Florida - If you would like to call Mr. Whitaker, email Len Terry at len.terry@omniconrete.com for his private contact information)

"When anyone asks me about the Omniconrete building system, I first show them my beautifully built Omniconrete home and then show them my utility bills. The utility bills for my 2,400 square Omniconrete home cost a fraction of the cost of my small mobile home I moved from. Like me everyone I talk to about my home wonders why everyone doesn't build with Omniconrete." *B Stanford, Holly Hill, Florida*

"What an amazing marketing scenario; think of it, a product that solves all the problems of buildings such as structural strength, maintenance, mold, moisture, termites, fire and damage from hurricanes and tornados that cost far less than conventional construction cost when factoring in energy savings. In fact, holding an Omniconrete home for about 23 years, energy savings will **PAY FOR THE ENTIRE COST OF THE HOME**. If that isn't marketing benefits nothing is". *LR Terry*

Cost of construction and energy savings put into perspective for Financing Buyer

COST COMPARISON ANALYSIS - Assuming a 3,000 SF Building

	Estimated Purchase Price	10% Down Payment	Amount Financed	Monthly Payment Amount
Estimated Omniconrete Amounts *	\$ 312,000	\$ 31,200	\$ 280,800	\$ 1,507
Estimated Conventional Amounts **	\$ 300,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 270,000	\$ 1,449
Difference	\$ 12,000	\$ 1,200	\$ 10,800	\$ 58



The bottom line in this illustration is this: when constructing a 3,000 Sq Ft building with Omniconrete will cost you \$1,200 more down payment and \$58 more per month; however you will get every penny of the down payment back (within a few months) & effective construction cost will constantly be reduced by energy savings generated.

* Assuming that Omniconrete cost is 4% higher than conventional costs. The actual difference has ranged from 2% to 4% depending on many factors.

** This is assuming a \$100 per square foot construction costs. Actual costs my vary depending on location and quality of finishes, height of ceilings, ect.

Omnicrete Development, Inc.

AMOUNT OF MONTHLY CASH GENERATED FROM ENERGY SAVINGS

	Assuming Energy Costs Increase By:				
	Current Prices	50%	100%	150%	300%
Energy Savings Per Month - Per Detailed Chart	\$ 288	\$ 333	\$ 444	\$ 554	\$ 887
Additional Amount of Mortgage Payment - Per Above Calculations	\$ 58	\$ 58	\$ 58	\$ 58	\$ 58
Cash Generated Per Month by Living in an Omnicrete Energy Efficient Home	\$ 230	\$ 275	\$ 386	\$ 496	\$ 829

"Please study carefully what is reflected by these scenarios. Using existing energy costs and building a 3,000 Sq Ft Omnicrete home will put \$230 in your pocket each and every month after paying additional mortgage payment of \$58. If energy costs increase, which I believe everyone knows they will, perhaps as much as 300% savings, after absorbing the additional mortgage payment, will go from \$230 savings per month to an astounding \$829.00 savings. Examining a 5,000 Sq Ft building the savings would go as high as \$1,404 per month (see detailed chart)". LR Terry

NUMBER OF MONTHS TO BREAK EVEN ON ADDITIONAL CASH DOWN PAYMENT

	Assuming Energy Costs Increase By:				
	Current Prices	50%	100%	150%	300%
Additional Cash Out of Pocket For Down Payment	\$ 1,200	\$ 1,200	\$ 1,200	\$ 1,200	\$ 1,200
Energy Savings Per Month - Per Detailed Chart	\$ 288	\$ 275	\$ 386	\$ 496	\$ 829
Number of Months To Break Even on Additional Amount of Down Payment	4.2	4.4	3.1	2.4	1.4

"Simply put, the additional down payment choosing Omnicrete for your building method in the above illustration will take from 5.2 months to 1.4 months to get back from monthly energy savings depending on the increased energy costs". LR Terry

EXAMPLES OF CASH GENERATED IN VARIOUS HOLDING PERIODS AND VARIOUS ENERGY COST INCREASES

	Assuming Energy Costs Increase By:				
	Current Prices	50%	100%	150%	300%
Amount of Cash Generated in 5 Years Over Various Energy Increase Levels	\$ 17,280	\$ 19,958	\$ 26,611	\$ 33,264	\$ 53,222
Amount of Cash Generated in 10 Years Over Various Energy Increase Levels	\$ 34,560	\$ 39,917	\$ 53,222	\$ 66,528	\$ 106,445
Amount of Cash Generated in 15 Years Over Various Energy Increase Levels	\$ 51,840	\$ 59,875	\$ 79,834	\$ 99,792	\$ 159,667
Amount of Cash Generated in 20 Years Over Various Energy Increase Levels	\$ 103,680	\$ 119,750	\$ 159,667	\$ 199,584	\$ 319,334

"Notice here that a holding period of twenty years will payback \$319,334 from energy savings which is approaching the Omnicrete original construction cost. Holding it another two years will complete wipe out the entire cost of construction. Nothing; I repeat nothing in the construction industry or any other industry will come even close to these payback numbers. I hope the reader can now see that the original construction cost of an Omnicrete home is a very moot point with energy savings like these. These number do not even include the 50% savings every home owner enjoys of annual hazard insurance premiums and the nearly zero cost of maintenance". LR Terry

CONCLUSION

President Obama's energy tax plan -- a version of the failed European "cap and trade" global warming fiasco -- may cost families \$1,800 to \$4,000 yearly in higher utility bills, far exceeding his promised \$800 a year tax cut for 95% of Americans. While campaigning, Obama admitted that his energy plan would cause electric bills to "skyrocket." President Obama's climate plan could cost industry close to \$2 trillion, nearly three times the White House's initial estimate of the so-called "cap-and-trade" legislation, according to Senate staffers who were briefed by the White House. [Go to http://www.examiner.com/x-2304-DC-Republican-Examiner-y2009m3d20-White-House-Admits-Obama-Energy-Tax-Will-Increase-Average-Electric-Bill-By-1800-Per-Year](http://www.examiner.com/x-2304-DC-Republican-Examiner-y2009m3d20-White-House-Admits-Obama-Energy-Tax-Will-Increase-Average-Electric-Bill-By-1800-Per-Year). Therefore it is not unreasonable for our energy cost will go up 50% immediately and as high as 300% over time (welcome to the Obama world).

The above example is illustrating what a 3,000 square foot home cost comparison would equate to in savings after paying an additional down payment and monthly payment, building with Omnicrete over conventional methods of construction. The bottom line is that after 5.2 months you would have received all the additional down payment back, and from then on, until the home is sold you would enjoy a savings of \$230.00 per month net of the increase in mortgage payment over the conventionally built home. Either way you look at it, you begin savings from day one!

Assuming the worst case that our energy costs will increase over 300% from June 2009 levels and you hold your new Omnicrete home for 20 years you will have saved enough from your energy savings to almost purchase another home with the energy savings alone. Another way of stating it, is that your current home will have an effective cost of only \$ 7,334. Reality will no doubt be between 50% increase to a 300% increase so conservatively you can assume half (a \$300,000 conventional home will cost only \$150,000.00 building with Omnicrete) the savings of building with Omnicrete over a conventionally built home after deducting for energy savings.

DISCLAIMER

The foregoing computations assume a conventional cost of construction of \$ 100.00 per square foot and the Omnicrete built home of \$ 104.00 per square foot (4% more). Energy savings is based on a 70% savings over conventionally built homes. Omnicrete has documented proof of energy savings between 75% to 85%. Actual conventional construction costs and Omnicrete construction costs may vary by geographic location, competence of workers, regional cost of materials, shipping costs, etc. These estimates are not guaranteed or warranted and as such are only used for illustrative purposes herein only to show that at any level of cost Omnicrete will always be less than conventional construction after factoring in the massive energy savings but ignoring savings every building owner will enjoy such as a saving of at least 50% hazard insurance premiums and building maintenance to nearly zero.



A NOTE FROM THE INVENTOR:

If an investor found an solid and safe investment opportunity that would return hundreds of percent per annum he would put most of his investment portfolio to work in that investment immediately without hesitation. I think most people would agree with that assumption. Who would not construct a building that will physically pay for itself over time and then keep on generating money from energy, insurance and maintenance savings and the whole time living in the building be protected from mold, moisture, termites, fire and wind damage as a bonus? This is why when people learn all the savings and benefits that are derived from building with Omnicrete make a the same comment; "you would be crazy NOT building with this system." We build residential and commercial new construction, remodeling and hurricane safe rooms and neuclear, biological and chemical fallout safe rooms.

Omniconrete Development, Inc.

Break Even Analysis and the Effect Energy Savings has on Net Omniconrete Construction Cost

Assumed Energy Increase In Future	Projected Monthly Energy Savings	Years To Breakeven on Add'l Cost	Assuming a \$300,000.00 Conventional Cost of a 3,000 Square Foot Conventionally Built Home											
			5 Year Holding Period			10 Year Holding Period			15 Year Holding Period			20 Year Holding Period		
			Energy Savings	Effective Const Cost	Percent Savings	Energy Savings	Effective Const Cost	Percent Savings	Energy Savings	Effective Const Cost	Percent Savings	Energy Savings	Effective Const Cost	Percent Savings
Current	\$ 222	4.5	\$ 13,306	\$ 298,694	0.4%	\$ 26,611	\$ 285,389	4.9%	\$ 39,917	\$ 272,083	9.3%	\$ 53,222	\$ 258,778	13.7%
50%	\$ 333	3.0	\$ 19,958	\$ 292,042	2.7%	\$ 39,917	\$ 272,083	9.3%	\$ 59,875	\$ 252,125	16.0%	\$ 79,834	\$ 232,166	22.6%
100%	\$ 444	2.3	\$ 26,611	\$ 285,389	4.9%	\$ 53,222	\$ 258,778	13.7%	\$ 79,834	\$ 232,166	22.6%	\$ 106,445	\$ 205,555	31.5%
150%	\$ 554	1.8	\$ 33,264	\$ 278,736	7.1%	\$ 66,528	\$ 245,472	18.2%	\$ 99,792	\$ 212,208	29.3%	\$ 133,056	\$ 178,944	40.4%
300%	\$ 887	1.1	\$ 53,222	\$ 258,778	13.7%	\$ 106,445	\$ 205,555	31.5%	\$ 159,667	\$ 152,333	49.2%	\$ 212,890	\$ 99,110	67.0%

This analysis assumes that the purchaser is NOT financing his new construction project but is paying ALL CASH. This analysis reflects the "net" cost of building an Omniconrete home on 4 different holding periods (5 years; 10 years; 15 years; and 20 years) and the corresponding "net" cost in each respective category over various percentages of energy cost increase. The "net" cost of construction is arrived by taking the estimated Omniconrete construction cost of 4% more than conventional costs and subtracting energy savings over assumed percentages of raising energy costs due to the taxing of carbon foot print on energy producers. Also the chart indicates the time it will take to breakeven on the additional investment building with the Omniconrete system.

The bottom line is that a \$300,000 conventionally built home that cost \$312,000 if built with Omniconrete will only really cost \$99,110 if energy increases 300 percent above June 2009 levels and the home is held for 20 years. This of course is if the home was paid for in cash. See other analysis done on financed comparison.

OMNICRETE DEVELOPMENT, INC. - COST COMPARISON OF THE OMNICRETE SYSTEM

Omncrete is ALWAYS less expensive than conventional construction methods when factoring in the energy savings

ASSUMING ENERGY COSTS AT JUNE 2009 LEVELS

Gross Living Sq. Foot	Conventional Cost	Omncrete Additional Cost	Total Estimated Cost Using Omncrete	Conventional Estimated Energy Cost	Omncrete Estimated Energy Cost	Omncrete Estimated Cost After Energy Star Savings	Estimated Monthly Energy Savings	# of Months To Break Even	# of Years To Break Even	5 Yr Holding Period		10 Yr Holding Period		15 Yr Holding Period		20 Yr Holding Period	
										Adjusted Cost of Omncrete	Adjusted Savings %	Adjusted Cost of Omncrete	Adjusted Savings %	Adjusted Cost of Omncrete	Adjusted Savings %	Adjusted Cost of Omncrete	Adjusted Savings %
1,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 4,000	\$ 104,000	\$ 120	\$ 30	\$ 28	\$ 92	43	3.6	\$ 98,456	1.5%	\$ 92,912	7.1%	\$ 87,368	12.6%	\$ 81,824	18.2%
1,200	\$ 120,000	\$ 4,800	\$ 124,800	\$ 137	\$ 34	\$ 31	\$ 105	46	3.8	\$ 118,480	1.3%	\$ 112,160	6.5%	\$ 105,840	11.8%	\$ 99,519	17.1%
1,400	\$ 140,000	\$ 5,600	\$ 145,600	\$ 154	\$ 38	\$ 35	\$ 118	47	3.9	\$ 138,504	1.1%	\$ 131,407	6.1%	\$ 124,311	11.2%	\$ 117,215	16.3%
1,600	\$ 160,000	\$ 6,400	\$ 166,400	\$ 170	\$ 43	\$ 39	\$ 131	49	4.1	\$ 158,528	0.9%	\$ 150,655	5.8%	\$ 142,783	10.8%	\$ 134,910	15.7%
1,800	\$ 180,000	\$ 7,200	\$ 187,200	\$ 187	\$ 47	\$ 43	\$ 144	50	4.2	\$ 178,551	0.8%	\$ 169,903	5.6%	\$ 161,254	10.4%	\$ 152,605	15.2%
2,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 8,000	\$ 208,000	\$ 204	\$ 51	\$ 47	\$ 157	51	4.2	\$ 198,575	0.7%	\$ 189,150	5.4%	\$ 179,726	10.1%	\$ 170,301	14.8%
2,200	\$ 220,000	\$ 8,800	\$ 228,800	\$ 221	\$ 55	\$ 51	\$ 170	52	4.3	\$ 218,599	0.6%	\$ 208,398	5.3%	\$ 198,197	9.9%	\$ 187,996	14.5%
2,400	\$ 240,000	\$ 9,600	\$ 249,600	\$ 238	\$ 59	\$ 55	\$ 183	52	4.4	\$ 238,623	0.6%	\$ 227,646	5.1%	\$ 216,669	9.7%	\$ 205,692	14.3%
2,600	\$ 260,000	\$ 10,400	\$ 270,400	\$ 254	\$ 64	\$ 59	\$ 196	53	4.4	\$ 258,647	0.5%	\$ 246,893	5.0%	\$ 235,140	9.6%	\$ 223,387	14.1%
2,800	\$ 280,000	\$ 11,200	\$ 291,200	\$ 271	\$ 68	\$ 62	\$ 209	54	4.5	\$ 278,671	0.5%	\$ 266,141	4.9%	\$ 253,612	9.4%	\$ 241,082	13.9%
3,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 12,000	\$ 312,000	\$ 288	\$ 72	\$ 66	\$ 222	54	4.5	\$ 298,694	0.4%	\$ 285,389	4.9%	\$ 272,083	9.3%	\$ 258,778	13.7%
3,200	\$ 320,000	\$ 12,800	\$ 332,800	\$ 305	\$ 76	\$ 70	\$ 235	55	4.5	\$ 318,718	0.4%	\$ 304,636	4.8%	\$ 290,555	9.2%	\$ 276,473	13.6%
3,400	\$ 340,000	\$ 13,600	\$ 353,600	\$ 322	\$ 80	\$ 74	\$ 248	55	4.6	\$ 338,742	0.4%	\$ 323,884	4.7%	\$ 309,026	9.1%	\$ 294,168	13.5%
3,600	\$ 360,000	\$ 14,400	\$ 374,400	\$ 338	\$ 85	\$ 78	\$ 261	55	4.6	\$ 358,766	0.3%	\$ 343,132	4.7%	\$ 327,498	9.0%	\$ 311,864	13.4%
3,800	\$ 380,000	\$ 15,200	\$ 395,200	\$ 355	\$ 89	\$ 82	\$ 274	56	4.6	\$ 378,790	0.3%	\$ 362,380	4.6%	\$ 345,969	9.0%	\$ 329,559	13.3%
4,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 16,000	\$ 416,000	\$ 372	\$ 93	\$ 86	\$ 286	56	4.7	\$ 398,814	0.3%	\$ 381,627	4.6%	\$ 364,441	8.9%	\$ 347,254	13.2%
4,200	\$ 420,000	\$ 16,800	\$ 436,800	\$ 389	\$ 97	\$ 89	\$ 299	56	4.7	\$ 418,837	0.3%	\$ 400,875	4.6%	\$ 382,912	8.8%	\$ 364,950	13.1%
4,400	\$ 440,000	\$ 17,600	\$ 457,600	\$ 406	\$ 101	\$ 93	\$ 312	56	4.7	\$ 438,861	0.3%	\$ 420,123	4.5%	\$ 401,384	8.8%	\$ 382,645	13.0%
4,600	\$ 460,000	\$ 18,400	\$ 478,400	\$ 422	\$ 106	\$ 97	\$ 325	57	4.7	\$ 458,885	0.2%	\$ 439,370	4.5%	\$ 419,855	8.7%	\$ 400,340	13.0%
4,800	\$ 480,000	\$ 19,200	\$ 499,200	\$ 439	\$ 110	\$ 101	\$ 338	57	4.7	\$ 478,909	0.2%	\$ 458,618	4.5%	\$ 438,327	8.7%	\$ 418,036	12.9%
5,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 520,000	\$ 456	\$ 114	\$ 105	\$ 351	57	4.7	\$ 498,933	0.2%	\$ 477,866	4.4%	\$ 456,798	8.6%	\$ 435,731	12.9%

A typical 3,000 gross square foot Omncrete built home will cost approximately \$9,600 on the average more than a conventionally built home as indicated in the outlined area above. The significance factor to understand is that the energy savings per month will be approximately \$193.00. It will take 50 months or 4.1 years to recover the extra cost. However energy savings extends into perpetuity and can be enjoyed during the entire period of occupancy. Assuming the home is held for 20 years the conventional cost of \$240,000.00 would be compared to an "Omncrete effective cost" of \$203,252 which would reflect a **\$ 35,122 or 14.6% savings**. You may examine different holding periods and discover the effective cost and percent savings on a 5 year, 10 year and 15 year holding period as well. As a bonus, the building occupants will enjoy a 100% fire proof, termite proof, moisture proof and mold proof home with wind (300mph+) and impact resistance far beyond any system being build today. Choose the square foot of your building and discover the anticipated energy saving and "Omncrete effective cost" and corresponding percent savings at various holding periods.

The conclusion is that in the example of a 3,000 square foot home, after energy savings, over time **will totally wipe out the additional cost of** building with Omncrete and in addition the net effective Omncrete costs will be 14.6% less expensive than a conventionally built home assuming a 20 year holding period; 10.0% less expensive assuming a 15 year holding period, 5.3% less expensive assuming a 10 year holding period; and 0.7% less expensive assuming a 5 year holding period. Another example; a 1,000 SF home Omncrete will be 21.4% less expensive if held for 20 years.

The above calculations above are based on a completed conventionally built home costing on the average of \$80.00 per square foot and that Omncrete costs on the average of 4% more than conventional cost per square foot.

OMNICRETE DEVELOPMENT, INC. - COST COMPARISON OF THE OMNICRETE SYSTEM

Omncrete is ALWAYS less expensive than conventional construction methods when factoring in the energy savings

ASSUMING ENERGY COST GOES UP 50% ABOVE JUNE 2009 LEVELS

Gross Living Sq. Foot	Omncrete		Conventional		Omncrete		Estimated		# of		5 Yr Holding Period		10 Yr Holding Period		15 Yr Holding Period		20 Yr Holding Period	
	Conventional	Additional	Total Estimated	Conventional	Omncrete	Estimated	Monthly	# of	Years	Adjusted	Adjusted	Adjusted	Adjusted	Adjusted	Adjusted	Adjusted	Adjusted	Adjusted
	Cost	Cost	Cost Using	Estimated	Estimated	Cost After	Energy	Months	To Break	Cost of	Savings	Cost of	Savings	Cost of	Savings	Cost of	Savings	Cost of
		Omncrete	Energy Cost	Energy Cost	Energy Star	Savings	Even	Even	Omncrete	%	Omncrete	%	Omncrete	%	Omncrete	%	Omncrete	%
1,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 4,000	\$ 104,000	\$ 180	\$ 45	\$ 41	\$ 139	29	2.4	\$ 95,684	4.3%	\$ 87,368	12.6%	\$ 79,052	20.9%	\$ 70,736	29.3%	
1,200	\$ 120,000	\$ 4,800	\$ 124,800	\$ 205	\$ 51	\$ 47	\$ 158	30	2.5	\$ 115,320	3.9%	\$ 105,840	11.8%	\$ 96,359	19.7%	\$ 86,879	27.6%	
1,400	\$ 140,000	\$ 5,600	\$ 145,600	\$ 230	\$ 58	\$ 53	\$ 177	32	2.6	\$ 134,956	3.6%	\$ 124,311	11.2%	\$ 113,667	18.8%	\$ 103,022	26.4%	
1,600	\$ 160,000	\$ 6,400	\$ 166,400	\$ 256	\$ 64	\$ 59	\$ 197	33	2.7	\$ 154,591	3.4%	\$ 142,783	10.8%	\$ 130,974	18.1%	\$ 119,165	25.5%	
1,800	\$ 180,000	\$ 7,200	\$ 187,200	\$ 281	\$ 70	\$ 65	\$ 216	33	2.8	\$ 174,227	3.2%	\$ 161,254	10.4%	\$ 148,281	17.6%	\$ 135,308	24.8%	
2,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 8,000	\$ 208,000	\$ 306	\$ 77	\$ 70	\$ 236	34	2.8	\$ 193,863	3.1%	\$ 179,726	10.1%	\$ 165,588	17.2%	\$ 151,451	24.3%	
2,200	\$ 220,000	\$ 8,800	\$ 228,800	\$ 331	\$ 83	\$ 76	\$ 255	35	2.9	\$ 213,499	3.0%	\$ 198,197	9.9%	\$ 182,896	16.9%	\$ 167,594	23.8%	
2,400	\$ 240,000	\$ 9,600	\$ 249,600	\$ 356	\$ 89	\$ 82	\$ 274	35	2.9	\$ 233,134	2.9%	\$ 216,669	9.7%	\$ 200,203	16.6%	\$ 183,737	23.4%	
2,600	\$ 260,000	\$ 10,400	\$ 270,400	\$ 382	\$ 95	\$ 88	\$ 294	35	2.9	\$ 252,770	2.8%	\$ 235,140	9.6%	\$ 217,510	16.3%	\$ 199,880	23.1%	
2,800	\$ 280,000	\$ 11,200	\$ 291,200	\$ 407	\$ 102	\$ 94	\$ 313	36	3.0	\$ 272,406	2.7%	\$ 253,612	9.4%	\$ 234,818	16.1%	\$ 216,023	22.8%	
3,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 12,000	\$ 312,000	\$ 432	\$ 108	\$ 99	\$ 333	36	3.0	\$ 292,042	2.7%	\$ 272,083	9.3%	\$ 252,125	16.0%	\$ 232,166	22.6%	
3,200	\$ 320,000	\$ 12,800	\$ 332,800	\$ 457	\$ 114	\$ 105	\$ 352	36	3.0	\$ 311,677	2.6%	\$ 290,555	9.2%	\$ 269,432	15.8%	\$ 248,309	22.4%	
3,400	\$ 340,000	\$ 13,600	\$ 353,600	\$ 482	\$ 121	\$ 111	\$ 371	37	3.1	\$ 331,313	2.6%	\$ 309,026	9.1%	\$ 286,739	15.7%	\$ 264,452	22.2%	
3,600	\$ 360,000	\$ 14,400	\$ 374,400	\$ 508	\$ 127	\$ 117	\$ 391	37	3.1	\$ 350,949	2.5%	\$ 327,498	9.0%	\$ 304,047	15.5%	\$ 280,596	22.1%	
3,800	\$ 380,000	\$ 15,200	\$ 395,200	\$ 533	\$ 133	\$ 123	\$ 410	37	3.1	\$ 370,585	2.5%	\$ 345,969	9.0%	\$ 321,354	15.4%	\$ 296,739	21.9%	
4,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 16,000	\$ 416,000	\$ 558	\$ 140	\$ 128	\$ 430	37	3.1	\$ 390,220	2.4%	\$ 364,441	8.9%	\$ 338,661	15.3%	\$ 312,882	21.8%	
4,200	\$ 420,000	\$ 16,800	\$ 436,800	\$ 583	\$ 146	\$ 134	\$ 449	37	3.1	\$ 409,856	2.4%	\$ 382,912	8.8%	\$ 355,968	15.2%	\$ 329,025	21.7%	
4,400	\$ 440,000	\$ 17,600	\$ 457,600	\$ 608	\$ 152	\$ 140	\$ 468	38	3.1	\$ 429,492	2.4%	\$ 401,384	8.8%	\$ 373,276	15.2%	\$ 345,168	21.6%	
4,600	\$ 460,000	\$ 18,400	\$ 478,400	\$ 634	\$ 158	\$ 146	\$ 488	38	3.1	\$ 449,128	2.4%	\$ 419,855	8.7%	\$ 390,583	15.1%	\$ 361,311	21.5%	
4,800	\$ 480,000	\$ 19,200	\$ 499,200	\$ 659	\$ 165	\$ 152	\$ 507	38	3.2	\$ 468,763	2.3%	\$ 438,327	8.7%	\$ 407,890	15.0%	\$ 377,454	21.4%	
5,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 520,000	\$ 684	\$ 171	\$ 157	\$ 527	38	3.2	\$ 488,399	2.3%	\$ 456,798	8.6%	\$ 425,198	15.0%	\$ 393,597	21.3%	
5,200	\$ 520,000	\$ 20,800	\$ 540,800	\$ 709	\$ 177	\$ 163	\$ 546	38	3.2	\$ 508,035	2.3%	\$ 475,270	8.6%	\$ 442,505	14.9%	\$ 409,740	21.2%	
5,400	\$ 540,000	\$ 21,600	\$ 561,600	\$ 734	\$ 184	\$ 169	\$ 565	38	3.2	\$ 527,671	2.3%	\$ 493,741	8.6%	\$ 459,812	14.8%	\$ 425,883	21.1%	
5,600	\$ 560,000	\$ 22,400	\$ 582,400	\$ 760	\$ 190	\$ 175	\$ 585	38	3.2	\$ 547,306	2.3%	\$ 512,213	8.5%	\$ 477,119	14.8%	\$ 442,026	21.1%	
5,800	\$ 580,000	\$ 23,200	\$ 603,200	\$ 785	\$ 196	\$ 181	\$ 604	38	3.2	\$ 566,942	2.3%	\$ 530,684	8.5%	\$ 494,427	14.8%	\$ 458,169	21.0%	
6,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 24,000	\$ 624,000	\$ 810	\$ 203	\$ 186	\$ 624	38	3.2	\$ 586,578	2.2%	\$ 549,156	8.5%	\$ 511,734	14.7%	\$ 474,312	20.9%	

Raising fuel costs compounded with an expected sharp increase in inflation caused by the financial market meltdown worldwide, every aspect of consumer costs is expected to increase not the least of which will be our energy costs. More importantly, Obama has indicated that he is going to save the environment by reducing carbon emissions and is expected to place a "carbon emissions" tax on all energy used which many economists, as a direct result of this tax, anticipate consumer energy costs increasing by as high as 300% within the near future. Assuming only a 50% increase in energy costs a 3,000 square foot home will yield a 24% cost savings over a 20 year holding period factoring in energy savings of the Omncrete process. This is nearly 10% less construction cost savings over 2009 energy. The reader can no doubt see where we are heading with these numbers. Soon it will be a given that everyone will NEED an Omncrete home just to survive what lies ahead for all of us. Another way of looking at what is happening is that the more energy costs go up the less your Omncrete will cost you over time.

OMNICRETE DEVELOPMENT, INC. - COST COMPARISON OF THE OMNICRETE SYSTEM

Omncrete is ALWAYS less expensive than conventional construction methods when factoring in the energy savings

ASSUMING ENERGY COST GOES UP 100% ABOVE JUNE 2009 LEVELS

Gross Living Sq. Foot	Omncrete		Conventional		Omncrete		Estimated		# of		5 Yr Holding Period		10 Yr Holding Period		15 Yr Holding Period		20 Yr Holding Period	
	Conventional	Additional	Total Estimated	Estimated	Omncrete	Estimated	Monthly	Months	Years	Adjusted	Adjusted	Adjusted	Adjusted	Adjusted	Adjusted	Adjusted	Adjusted	
	Cost	Cost	Cost Using Omncrete	Energy Cost	Energy Cost	Energy Star	Energy Savings	To Break Even	To Break Even	Cost of Omncrete	Savings %	Cost of Omncrete	Savings %	Cost of Omncrete	Savings %	Cost of Omncrete	Savings %	
1,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 4,000	\$ 104,000	\$ 240	\$ 60	\$ 55	\$ 185	22	1.8	\$ 92,912	7.1%	\$ 81,824	18.2%	\$ 70,736	29.3%	\$ 59,648	40.4%	
1,200	\$ 120,000	\$ 4,800	\$ 124,800	\$ 274	\$ 68	\$ 63	\$ 211	23	1.9	\$ 112,160	6.5%	\$ 99,519	17.1%	\$ 86,879	27.6%	\$ 74,239	38.1%	
1,400	\$ 140,000	\$ 5,600	\$ 145,600	\$ 307	\$ 77	\$ 71	\$ 237	24	2.0	\$ 131,407	6.1%	\$ 117,215	16.3%	\$ 103,022	26.4%	\$ 88,829	36.6%	
1,600	\$ 160,000	\$ 6,400	\$ 166,400	\$ 341	\$ 85	\$ 78	\$ 262	24	2.0	\$ 150,655	5.8%	\$ 134,910	15.7%	\$ 119,165	25.5%	\$ 103,420	35.4%	
1,800	\$ 180,000	\$ 7,200	\$ 187,200	\$ 374	\$ 94	\$ 86	\$ 288	25	2.1	\$ 169,903	5.6%	\$ 152,605	15.2%	\$ 135,308	24.8%	\$ 118,011	34.4%	
2,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 8,000	\$ 208,000	\$ 408	\$ 102	\$ 94	\$ 314	25	2.1	\$ 189,150	5.4%	\$ 170,301	14.8%	\$ 151,451	24.3%	\$ 132,602	33.7%	
2,200	\$ 220,000	\$ 8,800	\$ 228,800	\$ 442	\$ 110	\$ 102	\$ 340	26	2.2	\$ 208,398	5.3%	\$ 187,996	14.5%	\$ 167,594	23.8%	\$ 147,192	33.1%	
2,400	\$ 240,000	\$ 9,600	\$ 249,600	\$ 475	\$ 119	\$ 109	\$ 366	26	2.2	\$ 227,646	5.1%	\$ 205,692	14.3%	\$ 183,737	23.4%	\$ 161,783	32.6%	
2,600	\$ 260,000	\$ 10,400	\$ 270,400	\$ 509	\$ 127	\$ 117	\$ 392	27	2.2	\$ 246,893	5.0%	\$ 223,387	14.1%	\$ 199,880	23.1%	\$ 176,374	32.2%	
2,800	\$ 280,000	\$ 11,200	\$ 291,200	\$ 542	\$ 136	\$ 125	\$ 418	27	2.2	\$ 266,141	4.9%	\$ 241,082	13.9%	\$ 216,023	22.8%	\$ 190,964	31.8%	
3,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 12,000	\$ 312,000	\$ 576	\$ 144	\$ 132	\$ 444	27	2.3	\$ 285,389	4.9%	\$ 258,778	13.7%	\$ 232,166	22.6%	\$ 205,555	31.5%	
3,200	\$ 320,000	\$ 12,800	\$ 332,800	\$ 610	\$ 152	\$ 140	\$ 469	27	2.3	\$ 304,636	4.8%	\$ 276,473	13.6%	\$ 248,309	22.4%	\$ 220,146	31.2%	
3,400	\$ 340,000	\$ 13,600	\$ 353,600	\$ 643	\$ 161	\$ 148	\$ 495	27	2.3	\$ 323,884	4.7%	\$ 294,168	13.5%	\$ 264,452	22.2%	\$ 234,737	31.0%	
3,600	\$ 360,000	\$ 14,400	\$ 374,400	\$ 677	\$ 169	\$ 156	\$ 521	28	2.3	\$ 343,132	4.7%	\$ 311,864	13.4%	\$ 280,596	22.1%	\$ 249,327	30.7%	
3,800	\$ 380,000	\$ 15,200	\$ 395,200	\$ 710	\$ 178	\$ 163	\$ 547	28	2.3	\$ 362,380	4.6%	\$ 329,559	13.3%	\$ 296,739	21.9%	\$ 263,918	30.5%	
4,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 16,000	\$ 416,000	\$ 744	\$ 186	\$ 171	\$ 573	28	2.3	\$ 381,627	4.6%	\$ 347,254	13.2%	\$ 312,882	21.8%	\$ 278,509	30.4%	
4,200	\$ 420,000	\$ 16,800	\$ 436,800	\$ 778	\$ 194	\$ 179	\$ 599	28	2.3	\$ 400,875	4.6%	\$ 364,950	13.1%	\$ 329,025	21.7%	\$ 293,100	30.2%	
4,400	\$ 440,000	\$ 17,600	\$ 457,600	\$ 811	\$ 203	\$ 187	\$ 625	28	2.3	\$ 420,123	4.5%	\$ 382,645	13.0%	\$ 345,168	21.6%	\$ 307,690	30.1%	
4,600	\$ 460,000	\$ 18,400	\$ 478,400	\$ 845	\$ 211	\$ 194	\$ 650	28	2.4	\$ 439,370	4.5%	\$ 400,340	13.0%	\$ 361,311	21.5%	\$ 322,281	29.9%	
4,800	\$ 480,000	\$ 19,200	\$ 499,200	\$ 878	\$ 220	\$ 202	\$ 676	28	2.4	\$ 458,618	4.5%	\$ 418,036	12.9%	\$ 377,454	21.4%	\$ 336,872	29.8%	
5,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 520,000	\$ 912	\$ 228	\$ 210	\$ 702	28	2.4	\$ 477,866	4.4%	\$ 435,731	12.9%	\$ 393,597	21.3%	\$ 351,462	29.7%	
5,200	\$ 520,000	\$ 20,800	\$ 540,800	\$ 946	\$ 236	\$ 217	\$ 728	29	2.4	\$ 497,113	4.4%	\$ 453,427	12.8%	\$ 409,740	21.2%	\$ 366,053	29.6%	
5,400	\$ 540,000	\$ 21,600	\$ 561,600	\$ 979	\$ 245	\$ 225	\$ 754	29	2.4	\$ 516,361	4.4%	\$ 471,122	12.8%	\$ 425,883	21.1%	\$ 380,644	29.5%	
5,600	\$ 560,000	\$ 22,400	\$ 582,400	\$ 1,013	\$ 253	\$ 233	\$ 780	29	2.4	\$ 535,609	4.4%	\$ 488,817	12.7%	\$ 442,026	21.1%	\$ 395,235	29.4%	
5,800	\$ 580,000	\$ 23,200	\$ 603,200	\$ 1,046	\$ 262	\$ 241	\$ 806	29	2.4	\$ 554,856	4.3%	\$ 506,513	12.7%	\$ 458,169	21.0%	\$ 409,825	29.3%	
6,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 24,000	\$ 624,000	\$ 1,080	\$ 270	\$ 248	\$ 832	29	2.4	\$ 574,104	4.3%	\$ 524,208	12.6%	\$ 474,312	20.9%	\$ 424,416	29.3%	

Assuming a 100% increase above June 2009 levels a 3,000 square foot home will yield a \$79,843.00 savings over a twenty year holding period. This means that if you choose an Omncrete home over a conventional home and hold that home for twenty years the cost of that home will effectively be 33.3% lower than conventional costs.

OMNICRETE DEVELOPMENT, INC. - COST COMPARISON OF THE OMNICRETE SYSTEM

Omncrete is ALWAYS less expensive than conventional construction methods when factoring in the energy savings

ASSUMING ENERGY COST GOES UP 150% ABOVE JUNE 2009 LEVELS

Gross Living Sq. Foot	Omncrete		Total Estimated Cost Using Omncrete	Conventional Estimated Energy Cost	Omncrete Estimated Energy Cost	Omncrete Estimated Cost After Energy Star	Estimated Monthly Energy Savings	# of Months To Break Even	# of Years To Break Even	5 Yr Holding Period		10 Yr Holding Period		15 Yr Holding Period		20 Yr Holding Period	
	Conventional Cost	Additional Cost								Adjusted Cost of Omncrete	Adjusted % Savings	Adjusted Cost of Omncrete	Adjusted % Savings	Adjusted Cost of Omncrete	Adjusted % Savings	Adjusted Cost of Omncrete	Adjusted % Savings
1,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 4,000	\$ 104,000	\$ 300	\$ 75	\$ 69	\$ 231	17	1.4	\$ 90,140	9.9%	\$ 76,280	23.7%	\$ 62,420	37.6%	\$ 48,560	51.4%
1,200	\$ 120,000	\$ 4,800	\$ 124,800	\$ 342	\$ 86	\$ 79	\$ 263	18	1.5	\$ 109,000	9.2%	\$ 93,199	22.3%	\$ 77,399	35.5%	\$ 61,598	48.7%
1,400	\$ 140,000	\$ 5,600	\$ 145,600	\$ 384	\$ 96	\$ 88	\$ 296	19	1.6	\$ 127,859	8.7%	\$ 110,118	21.3%	\$ 92,378	34.0%	\$ 74,637	46.7%
1,600	\$ 160,000	\$ 6,400	\$ 166,400	\$ 426	\$ 107	\$ 98	\$ 328	20	1.6	\$ 146,719	8.3%	\$ 127,038	20.6%	\$ 107,356	32.9%	\$ 87,675	45.2%
1,800	\$ 180,000	\$ 7,200	\$ 187,200	\$ 468	\$ 117	\$ 108	\$ 360	20	1.7	\$ 165,578	8.0%	\$ 143,957	20.0%	\$ 122,335	32.0%	\$ 100,714	44.0%
2,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 8,000	\$ 208,000	\$ 510	\$ 128	\$ 117	\$ 393	20	1.7	\$ 184,438	7.8%	\$ 160,876	19.6%	\$ 137,314	31.3%	\$ 113,752	43.1%
2,200	\$ 220,000	\$ 8,800	\$ 228,800	\$ 552	\$ 138	\$ 127	\$ 425	21	1.7	\$ 203,298	7.6%	\$ 177,795	19.2%	\$ 152,293	30.8%	\$ 126,790	42.4%
2,400	\$ 240,000	\$ 9,600	\$ 249,600	\$ 594	\$ 149	\$ 137	\$ 457	21	1.7	\$ 222,157	7.4%	\$ 194,714	18.9%	\$ 167,272	30.3%	\$ 139,829	41.7%
2,600	\$ 260,000	\$ 10,400	\$ 270,400	\$ 636	\$ 159	\$ 146	\$ 490	21	1.8	\$ 241,017	7.3%	\$ 211,634	18.6%	\$ 182,250	29.9%	\$ 152,867	41.2%
2,800	\$ 280,000	\$ 11,200	\$ 291,200	\$ 678	\$ 170	\$ 156	\$ 522	21	1.8	\$ 259,876	7.2%	\$ 228,553	18.4%	\$ 197,229	29.6%	\$ 165,906	40.7%
3,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 12,000	\$ 312,000	\$ 720	\$ 180	\$ 166	\$ 554	22	1.8	\$ 278,736	7.1%	\$ 245,472	18.2%	\$ 212,208	29.3%	\$ 178,944	40.4%
3,200	\$ 320,000	\$ 12,800	\$ 332,800	\$ 762	\$ 191	\$ 175	\$ 587	22	1.8	\$ 297,596	7.0%	\$ 262,391	18.0%	\$ 227,187	29.0%	\$ 191,982	40.0%
3,400	\$ 340,000	\$ 13,600	\$ 353,600	\$ 804	\$ 201	\$ 185	\$ 619	22	1.8	\$ 316,455	6.9%	\$ 279,310	17.8%	\$ 242,166	28.8%	\$ 205,021	39.7%
3,600	\$ 360,000	\$ 14,400	\$ 374,400	\$ 846	\$ 212	\$ 195	\$ 651	22	1.8	\$ 335,315	6.9%	\$ 296,230	17.7%	\$ 257,144	28.6%	\$ 218,059	39.4%
3,800	\$ 380,000	\$ 15,200	\$ 395,200	\$ 888	\$ 222	\$ 204	\$ 684	22	1.9	\$ 354,174	6.8%	\$ 313,149	17.6%	\$ 272,123	28.4%	\$ 231,098	39.2%
4,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 16,000	\$ 416,000	\$ 930	\$ 233	\$ 214	\$ 716	22	1.9	\$ 373,034	6.7%	\$ 330,068	17.5%	\$ 287,102	28.2%	\$ 244,136	39.0%
4,200	\$ 420,000	\$ 16,800	\$ 436,800	\$ 972	\$ 243	\$ 224	\$ 748	22	1.9	\$ 391,894	6.7%	\$ 346,987	17.4%	\$ 302,081	28.1%	\$ 257,174	38.8%
4,400	\$ 440,000	\$ 17,600	\$ 457,600	\$ 1,014	\$ 254	\$ 233	\$ 781	23	1.9	\$ 410,753	6.6%	\$ 363,906	17.3%	\$ 317,060	27.9%	\$ 270,213	38.6%
4,600	\$ 460,000	\$ 18,400	\$ 478,400	\$ 1,056	\$ 264	\$ 243	\$ 813	23	1.9	\$ 429,613	6.6%	\$ 380,826	17.2%	\$ 332,038	27.8%	\$ 283,251	38.4%
4,800	\$ 480,000	\$ 19,200	\$ 499,200	\$ 1,098	\$ 275	\$ 253	\$ 845	23	1.9	\$ 448,472	6.6%	\$ 397,745	17.1%	\$ 347,017	27.7%	\$ 296,290	38.3%
5,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 520,000	\$ 1,140	\$ 285	\$ 262	\$ 878	23	1.9	\$ 467,332	6.5%	\$ 414,664	17.1%	\$ 361,996	27.6%	\$ 309,328	38.1%
5,200	\$ 520,000	\$ 20,800	\$ 540,800	\$ 1,182	\$ 296	\$ 272	\$ 910	23	1.9	\$ 486,192	6.5%	\$ 431,583	17.0%	\$ 376,975	27.5%	\$ 322,366	38.0%
5,400	\$ 540,000	\$ 21,600	\$ 561,600	\$ 1,224	\$ 306	\$ 282	\$ 942	23	1.9	\$ 505,051	6.5%	\$ 448,502	16.9%	\$ 391,954	27.4%	\$ 335,405	37.9%
5,600	\$ 560,000	\$ 22,400	\$ 582,400	\$ 1,266	\$ 317	\$ 291	\$ 975	23	1.9	\$ 523,911	6.4%	\$ 465,422	16.9%	\$ 406,932	27.3%	\$ 348,443	37.8%
5,800	\$ 580,000	\$ 23,200	\$ 603,200	\$ 1,308	\$ 327	\$ 301	\$ 1,007	23	1.9	\$ 542,770	6.4%	\$ 482,341	16.8%	\$ 421,911	27.3%	\$ 361,482	37.7%
6,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 24,000	\$ 624,000	\$ 1,350	\$ 338	\$ 311	\$ 1,040	23	1.9	\$ 561,630	6.4%	\$ 499,260	16.8%	\$ 436,890	27.2%	\$ 374,520	37.6%

Assuming a 150% increase above June 2009 levels a 3,000 square foot home will yield a \$102,204.00 savings over a twenty year holding period. This means that if you choose an Omncrete home over a conventional home and hold that home for twenty years the cost of that home will effectively be 42.6% lower than conventional costs.

OMNICRETE DEVELOPMENT, INC. - COST COMPARISON OF THE OMNICRETE SYSTEM

Omncrete is ALWAYS less expensive than conventional construction methods when factoring in the energy savings

ASSUMING ENERGY COST GOES UP 300% ABOVE JUNE 2009 LEVELS

Gross Living Sq. Foot	Omncrete		Total Estimated Cost Using Omncrete	Conventional Estimated Energy Cost	Omncrete Estimated Energy Cost	Omncrete Estimated Cost After Energy Star Savings	Estimated Monthly Energy Savings	# of Months To Break Even	# of Years To Break Even	5 Yr Holding Period		10 Yr Holding Period		15 Yr Holding Period		20 Yr Holding Period	
	Conventional Cost	Additional Cost								Adjusted Cost of Omncrete	Adjusted % Savings	Adjusted Cost of Omncrete	Adjusted % Savings	Adjusted Cost of Omncrete	Adjusted % Savings	Adjusted Cost of Omncrete	Adjusted % Savings
1,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 4,000	\$ 104,000	\$ 480	\$ 120	\$ 110	\$ 370	11	0.9	\$ 81,824	18.2%	\$ 59,648	40.4%	\$ 37,472	62.5%	\$ 15,296	84.7%
1,200	\$ 120,000	\$ 4,800	\$ 124,800	\$ 547	\$ 137	\$ 126	\$ 421	11	0.9	\$ 99,519	17.1%	\$ 74,239	38.1%	\$ 48,958	59.2%	\$ 23,677	80.3%
1,400	\$ 140,000	\$ 5,600	\$ 145,600	\$ 614	\$ 154	\$ 141	\$ 473	12	1.0	\$ 117,215	16.3%	\$ 88,829	36.6%	\$ 60,444	56.8%	\$ 32,059	77.1%
1,600	\$ 160,000	\$ 6,400	\$ 166,400	\$ 682	\$ 170	\$ 157	\$ 525	12	1.0	\$ 134,910	15.7%	\$ 103,420	35.4%	\$ 71,930	55.0%	\$ 40,440	74.7%
1,800	\$ 180,000	\$ 7,200	\$ 187,200	\$ 749	\$ 187	\$ 172	\$ 577	12	1.0	\$ 152,605	15.2%	\$ 118,011	34.4%	\$ 83,416	53.7%	\$ 48,822	72.9%
2,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 8,000	\$ 208,000	\$ 816	\$ 204	\$ 188	\$ 628	13	1.1	\$ 170,301	14.8%	\$ 132,602	33.7%	\$ 94,902	52.5%	\$ 57,203	71.4%
2,200	\$ 220,000	\$ 8,800	\$ 228,800	\$ 883	\$ 221	\$ 203	\$ 680	13	1.1	\$ 187,996	14.5%	\$ 147,192	33.1%	\$ 106,388	51.6%	\$ 65,585	70.2%
2,400	\$ 240,000	\$ 9,600	\$ 249,600	\$ 950	\$ 238	\$ 219	\$ 732	13	1.1	\$ 205,692	14.3%	\$ 161,783	32.6%	\$ 117,875	50.9%	\$ 73,966	69.2%
2,600	\$ 260,000	\$ 10,400	\$ 270,400	\$ 1,018	\$ 254	\$ 234	\$ 784	13	1.1	\$ 223,387	14.1%	\$ 176,374	32.2%	\$ 129,361	50.2%	\$ 82,348	68.3%
2,800	\$ 280,000	\$ 11,200	\$ 291,200	\$ 1,085	\$ 271	\$ 250	\$ 835	13	1.1	\$ 241,082	13.9%	\$ 190,964	31.8%	\$ 140,847	49.7%	\$ 90,729	67.6%
3,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 12,000	\$ 312,000	\$ 1,152	\$ 288	\$ 265	\$ 887	14	1.1	\$ 258,778	13.7%	\$ 205,555	31.5%	\$ 152,333	49.2%	\$ 99,110	67.0%
3,200	\$ 320,000	\$ 12,800	\$ 332,800	\$ 1,219	\$ 305	\$ 280	\$ 939	14	1.1	\$ 276,473	13.6%	\$ 220,146	31.2%	\$ 163,819	48.8%	\$ 107,492	66.4%
3,400	\$ 340,000	\$ 13,600	\$ 353,600	\$ 1,286	\$ 322	\$ 296	\$ 991	14	1.1	\$ 294,168	13.5%	\$ 234,737	31.0%	\$ 175,305	48.4%	\$ 115,873	65.9%
3,600	\$ 360,000	\$ 14,400	\$ 374,400	\$ 1,354	\$ 338	\$ 311	\$ 1,042	14	1.2	\$ 311,864	13.4%	\$ 249,327	30.7%	\$ 186,791	48.1%	\$ 124,255	65.5%
3,800	\$ 380,000	\$ 15,200	\$ 395,200	\$ 1,421	\$ 355	\$ 327	\$ 1,094	14	1.2	\$ 329,559	13.3%	\$ 263,918	30.5%	\$ 198,277	47.8%	\$ 132,636	65.1%
4,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 16,000	\$ 416,000	\$ 1,488	\$ 372	\$ 342	\$ 1,146	14	1.2	\$ 347,254	13.2%	\$ 278,509	30.4%	\$ 209,763	47.6%	\$ 141,018	64.7%
4,200	\$ 420,000	\$ 16,800	\$ 436,800	\$ 1,555	\$ 389	\$ 358	\$ 1,198	14	1.2	\$ 364,950	13.1%	\$ 293,100	30.2%	\$ 221,249	47.3%	\$ 149,399	64.4%
4,400	\$ 440,000	\$ 17,600	\$ 457,600	\$ 1,622	\$ 406	\$ 373	\$ 1,249	14	1.2	\$ 382,645	13.0%	\$ 307,690	30.1%	\$ 232,735	47.1%	\$ 157,780	64.1%
4,600	\$ 460,000	\$ 18,400	\$ 478,400	\$ 1,690	\$ 422	\$ 389	\$ 1,301	14	1.2	\$ 400,340	13.0%	\$ 322,281	29.9%	\$ 244,221	46.9%	\$ 166,162	63.9%
4,800	\$ 480,000	\$ 19,200	\$ 499,200	\$ 1,757	\$ 439	\$ 404	\$ 1,353	14	1.2	\$ 418,036	12.9%	\$ 336,872	29.8%	\$ 255,708	46.7%	\$ 174,543	63.6%
5,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 520,000	\$ 1,824	\$ 456	\$ 420	\$ 1,404	14	1.2	\$ 435,731	12.9%	\$ 351,462	29.7%	\$ 267,194	46.6%	\$ 182,925	63.4%
5,200	\$ 520,000	\$ 20,800	\$ 540,800	\$ 1,891	\$ 473	\$ 435	\$ 1,456	14	1.2	\$ 453,427	12.8%	\$ 366,053	29.6%	\$ 278,680	46.4%	\$ 191,306	63.2%
5,400	\$ 540,000	\$ 21,600	\$ 561,600	\$ 1,958	\$ 490	\$ 450	\$ 1,508	14	1.2	\$ 471,122	12.8%	\$ 380,644	29.5%	\$ 290,166	46.3%	\$ 199,688	63.0%
5,600	\$ 560,000	\$ 22,400	\$ 582,400	\$ 2,026	\$ 506	\$ 466	\$ 1,560	14	1.2	\$ 488,817	12.7%	\$ 395,235	29.4%	\$ 301,652	46.1%	\$ 208,069	62.8%
5,800	\$ 580,000	\$ 23,200	\$ 603,200	\$ 2,093	\$ 523	\$ 481	\$ 1,611	14	1.2	\$ 506,513	12.7%	\$ 409,825	29.3%	\$ 313,138	46.0%	\$ 216,451	62.7%
6,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 24,000	\$ 624,000	\$ 2,160	\$ 540	\$ 497	\$ 1,663	14	1.2	\$ 524,208	12.6%	\$ 424,416	29.3%	\$ 324,624	45.9%	\$ 224,832	62.5%

Assuming a 300% increase above June 2009 levels a 3,000 square foot home will yield a \$169,286.00 savings over a twenty year holding period. This means that if you choose an Omncrete home over a conventional home and hold that home for twenty years the cost of that home will effectively be 70.5% lower than conventional costs.